

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/047,256	(01/15/2002	Paul Howard Whitby	10660-055US (10931P1)	4523		
570	7590	10/16/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
AKIN GUN	MP STRA	USS HAUER &	THORNTON, KR	THORNTON, KRISANNE MARIE			
ONE COM	MERCE SO	QUARE					
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013				1744			

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(I/
		10/047,256		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	WHITBY ET AL.	
	•		Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Krisanne M. Thornton	1744	Y055
Period for	Reply	care on the cover sheet with	rure correspondence addi	ress
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the provision of the mailing and the mailing of the maili	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ARA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com	nmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	luly 2003 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under	ince except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.		
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)∐ TI	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 TI	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ dis	approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12)∐ TI	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 🛭 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u>] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	plication No	
	B.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			pplication)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has bee	en received.	,
Attachment(s				
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ormal Patent Application (PTO-	
 Patent and Trad TOL-326 (Rev 		tion Summary	Post of D	aper No. 9

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating 4. obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,256

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins '409 in view of any one of Kuhn U.S. patent No. 5,364,027 or Dearling U.S. patent No. 4,084,732 or Harrison U.S. patent No. 3,972,473.

Watkins teaches the a method and apparatus for the provision of a plurality of fragrance liquids for vaporization, as well as means for heating a fragrance-loaded wick means for effective release of the scent. Fragrance release being perpetuated in a controlled, timed application.

All of Kuhn, Dearling and Harrison teach the known and expected method and configuration of supply both continuous and intermittent fragrance to an area, wherein a spray mechanism releases a burst of fragrance as well as refreshing a continually vaporizing absorbent loaded with fragrance. The references are silent as to the provision of two fragrances.

It would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to configure Watkins such that both continuous and time-controlled intermittent release of different fragrance vapors is achieved as in Kuhn, Dearling and Harrison because such release would effectively address varying/cyclic user needs, such as masking the unpleasant odor that may accompany the release of an insecticidal vapor.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne M. Thornton whose telephone number is 703-308-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

KRIŚANNE THORNTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 1, 2003